

DISCRIMINANTS AND MOTIVIC INTEGRATION

OSCAR KIVINEN, ALEXEI OBLOMKOV, AND DIMITRI WYSS

ABSTRACT. We study invariants of a plane curve singularity $(f, 0)$ coming from motivic integration on symmetric powers of a formal deformation of f . We show that a natural discriminant integral recovers the motivic classes of the principal Hilbert schemes of points on f , while the orbifold integral gives the plethystic exponential of the motivic Igusa zeta function of f . The latter result also holds in higher dimensions.

Combined with results of Gorsky and Némethi, we obtain an interpretation of the discriminant integrals in terms of knot Floer homology, which is reminiscent of the relation between the cohomology of contact loci and fixed point Floer homology proven by de la Bodega and Poza.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $m \geq 1$, $\mathbb{A}^m = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$, and consider a polynomial map $f : \mathbb{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ with $f(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ defining an isolated hypersurface singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{A}^m$. When $k = \mathbb{C}$, a fundamental invariant of $(f, 0)$ is its Milnor fiber Σ , a compact smooth manifold with boundary depending only on the singularity at 0 [35]. Its singular cohomology $H^*(\Sigma, \mathbb{Q})$ admits a monodromy endomorphism M , whose zeta function $\zeta_{f,0}(T) = \prod_{i \geq 0} \det(1 - TM \mid H^i(\Sigma, \mathbb{Q}))^{(-1)^{i+1}}$ can be computed purely algebraically by a remarkable result of Denef and Loeser [18]:

For any $n \geq 1$ let $X_{f,n}^0$ denote the n -th restricted contact locus of f . On closed points we have

$$X_{f,n}^0 = \{x \in \mathbb{A}^m(k[[t]]/t^{n+1})_0 \mid f(x) = t^n \in k[[t]]/t^{n+1}\},$$

where $\mathbb{A}^m(k[[t]]/t^{n+1})_0$ denotes the locus of n -jets reducing to 0 modulo t . More precise definitions of the above notions will be given in Section 2. Our starting point is the fact that the Euler characteristics $\chi(X_{f,n}^0)$ determine $\zeta_{f,0}(T)$ via the formula

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta_{f,0}(T) = \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(X_{f,n}^0)}{n} T^n \right).$$

While the original proof of (1.1) proceeds by computing both sides independently on an embedded resolution of f , there exists now a more conceptual interpretation [28, 36] as a Lefschetz fixed point formula on the analytic Milnor fiber. In a similar spirit, the goal of this paper is to study refinements of (1.1) coming from motivic integration.

Concretely, consider the $k[[t]]$ -scheme

$$\mathcal{X}_f = \text{Spec}(k[[t]][x_1, \dots, x_m]/f - t),$$

and associated with it for any $n \geq 0$ the relative symmetric power $\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f = \mathcal{X}_f \times_{k[[t]]} \cdots \times_{k[[t]]} \mathcal{X}_f / S_n$. In a nutshell our paper studies motivic classes in the localized Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{C}_k = K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}, (1 - \mathbb{L}^i)^{-1} : i \geq 1]$ coming from Cluckers-Loeser motivic integrals [11] on

$$\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0(k[[t]]) = \{x \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)(k[[t]]) \mid x_k = n[0]\}.$$

Our first main result is in the case $m = 2$, i.e. when $(f, 0)$ defines an isolated plane curve singularity, and is partially motivated by the second author's work on the relation between Hilbert schemes and knot invariants [37, 38]. Namely, since $\mathcal{X}_f|_{k((t))}$ is a smooth curve, the generic fiber of $\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f$ admits another model over $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[t]])$ given by the relative Hilbert scheme of points $\mathrm{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$.

The special fiber $\mathrm{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)_k$ is the classical Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_n(f)$ of length n subschemes on the singular curve $f = 0$ and we write $\mathrm{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0 \subset \mathrm{Hilb}_n(f)$ for the locally closed subscheme parametrizing principal subschemes supported at 0.

Theorem 1.1 (3.3). *For any $n \geq 1$ there exists a generically non-vanishing definable volume form $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ on $\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_n^{gel}| = \mathbb{L}^{-n} [\mathrm{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0] \in \mathcal{C}_k.$$

By Proposition 3.6, the generating series

$$P_{gel}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_n^{gel}| T^n,$$

can be identified with the one-variable motivic Poincaré series of Campillo, Delgado and Gusein-Zade [8, 9].

Since $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ is generically non-vanishing, one can compute the Euler characteristic specialization of $P_{gel}(T)$ by the trace formula for motivic Serre invariants, recovering an old result of Milnor [35, Lemma 10.1]:

Corollary 1.2 (3.9). *For any reduced plane curve singularity $(f, 0)$ with link L we have*

$$\zeta_{f,0}(T) = \frac{A_L(T)}{1 - T},$$

where $A_L(T)$ denotes the normalized Alexander polynomial of the link.

As a second corollary, we combine Theorem 1.1 with results of Gorsky and Némethi [22] to obtain an interpretation of the motivic integrals $\int_{\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_n^{gel}|$ in terms of the knot Floer homology $HFL^-(L, v)$ of the link L defined by the plane curve singularity $(f, 0)$ [39, 40].

Corollary 1.3 (5.5). *We have an equality*

$$P_{gel}(T) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r, d \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim HFL_d^-(L, v) \mathbb{L}^{d-2h(v)} T^{|v|},$$

where $h(v) = \mathrm{codim}_{k[[x,y]]} J_v$ as in Section 3.5.

It seems tempting to try to relate Corollary 1.3 to the arc-Floer conjecture of Budur-de Bobadilla-Lê-Nguyen [7], now a theorem for plane curve singularities [15], predicting an isomorphism between the compactly supported cohomology of the contact loci $X_{f,n}^0$ and the fixed point Floer homology of the n -th iterate of the monodromy of Σ . Although we do not know any direct relation between $P_{gel}(T)$ and the geometry of the spaces $X_{f,n}^0$ at the moment, our second main result shows that a closely related integral on $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$ is determined by the motivic Igusa zeta function [17]

$$Z_f(T) = \sum_{n \geq 1} [X_{f,n}^0] \mathbb{L}^{-mn} T^n.$$

Theorem 1.4 (4.2). *For any non-constant $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ there exists a natural orbifold form $|\omega_{orb}|^{1/2}$ on $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} T^n = \text{Exp} \left(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-1}{2}} Z_f(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-3}{2}} T) \right),$$

where $\text{Exp} : TC_k[\mathbb{L}^{1/2}][[T]] \rightarrow 1 + TC_k[\mathbb{L}^{1/2}][[T]]$ denotes the plethystic exponential.

For $m = 3$ the relative Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ provides a crepant resolution of the generic fiber of $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$ and a formula similar to Theorem 1.4 has appeared in [41], see also Remark 4.3.

Finally, for $m = 2$, we can relate the two volume forms $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ and $|\omega_{orb}|^{1/2}$ on $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$ by means of the formula

$$\mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{\Delta_f}/2} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} = |\omega_n^{gel}|,$$

where $\Delta_f \subset \text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f$ denotes the discriminant, see Proposition 4.5. In particular, due to the factor $\mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{\Delta_f}/2}$, the series $P_{gel}(T)$ does not seem to be determined by the classes $[X_{f,n}^0]$. Instead, there is a natural 1-parameter family

$$Q_f(s, T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\Delta_f|^s |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} T^n \in \mathcal{C}_k[\mathbb{L}^{1/2}][[T, \mathbb{L}^{-s}]],$$

for some formal parameter s , such that

$$(1.2) \quad Q_f(1/2, T) = P_{gel}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_f(0, T) = \text{Exp} \left(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{1}{2}} Z_f(\mathbb{L}^{\frac{1}{2}} T) \right).$$

A good understanding of $Q_f(s, T)$ might give a new way of relating $Z_f(T)$ to $\zeta_{f,0}(T)$, however, even for a smooth curve computing $Q_f(s, T)$ is equivalent to computing the Igusa zeta functions of all classical discriminant polynomials, see Section 6. The latter is an open problem as far as we know, and we hope to come back to it in future work.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall the necessary background on Hilbert schemes and motivic integration. In particular, we discuss Haiman's charts of the Hilbert scheme of \mathbb{A}^2 which we use in Section 3 to construct the definable form $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ and prove Theorem 1.4. In Section 4 we discuss the orbifold formalism for symmetric powers, establish Theorem 1.4 and compare the orbifold form $|\omega_{orb}|^{1/2}$ with $|\omega_n^{gel}|$. In Section 5 we discuss the relation with (symplectic) knot invariants, in particular Corollary 1.3, and

speculate about possible refinements and extensions of our results. Finally, in Section 6 we discuss explicit examples of the series $Q_f(s, T)$ and its specializations.

Acknowledgements: We warmly thank Javier de la Bodega-Aldama, Arthur Forey, Paolo Ghiggini, François Loeser, Johannes Nicaise, Simon Pepin Lehalleur and Ilaria Rossinelli for interesting discussions around the subjects of this paper. We are very grateful to Eugene Gorsky for helping us with the proof of Proposition 3.6.

A.O. would like to thank EPFL, Lausanne for hospitality during the visits in September 2023 and January 2024, many ideas in the paper were developed during these stays. O.K. and D.W. were supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation [no. 196960]. O.K. was also supported by a Väisälä project grant of the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters. A.O. was supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-2200798.

2. BACKGROUND

Throughout this section we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. By a k -variety we always mean a separated, reduced but possibly reducible k -scheme of finite type.

2.1. Hilbert Schemes.

2.1.1. *Hilbert scheme of the plane.* The Hilbert scheme of the plane $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is a smooth and irreducible variety of dimension $2n$. In the work of Haiman [26] a cover of $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ by affine charts is constructed as follows:

For any subset $M \subset \mathbb{N}^2$ of size n we may consider the open

$$U_M = \{I \subset k[X, Y] \mid \{X^p Y^q\}_{(p,q) \in M} \text{ generates } k[X, Y]/I \text{ as a } k\text{-vector space}\}.$$

Consider the tautological bundle $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$, which is the rank n vector bundle over $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ whose fiber over I is $k[X, Y]/I$. Any monomial $X^p Y^q$ defines a section of \mathcal{T} and the collection $\{X^p Y^q\}_{(p,q) \in M}$ trivializes $\mathcal{T}|_{U_M}$. Any $f \in k[X, Y]$ defines a global section $f^{[n]}$ of \mathcal{T} which we can describe on U_M using this trivialization as follows: for $I \in U_M$ we may write the image \bar{f} of f in $k[X, Y]/I$ uniquely as

$$\bar{f} = \sum_{(p,q) \in M} f_{p,q}^{[n]}(I) X^p Y^q.$$

If we write $f_M^{[n]} : U_M \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ for the function $(f_{p_i q_i}^{[n]})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ obtained this way we have $f_M^{[n]} = f|_{U_M}^{[n]}$ in the trivialization given by $\{X^p Y^q\}_{(p,q) \in M}$. Here we used the inverse lexicographical order on \mathbb{N}^2 , i.e. $(a, b) \leq (c, d)$ iff $b \leq d$ or $b = d$ and $a \leq c$, to order the elements $(p_1, q_1) < \dots < (p_n, q_n)$ of M .

Now assume $I \in U_M$ has support (with multiplicities) $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{A}^2$. Then $f_M^{[n]}(I)$ is related to the evaluation of f at the points (x_i, y_i) by the matrix $B_M(x, y) =$

$(x_i^{p_j} y_i^{q_j})_{ij}$ i.e.

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1, y_1) \\ f(x_2, y_2) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_n, y_n) \end{pmatrix} = B_M(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} f_{p_1 q_1}^{[n]}(I) \\ f_{p_2 q_2}^{[n]}(I) \\ \vdots \\ f_{p_n q_n}^{[n]}(I) \end{pmatrix}$$

We write Δ_M for the determinant of $B_M(x, y)$, which is an alternating function on $(\mathbb{A}^2)^n$.

Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be a partition. Its *Young diagram* is $M_\lambda = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid i + 1 \leq \lambda_{j+1}\}$. In what follows we will consider $M = M_\lambda$ with M_λ the Young diagram of a partition $\lambda \vdash n$, and we write U_λ for U_{M_λ} , $f_\lambda^{[n]}$ for $f_{M_\lambda}^{[n]}$, etc. In particular, we have an open covering $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2) = \bigcup_{\lambda \vdash n} U_\lambda$.

2.1.2. Hilbert scheme of a plane curve. Throughout this section we let $f \in k[X, Y]$ be a reduced non-constant polynomial defining a curve $C = \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_n(f) := \text{Hilb}_n(C)$ naturally embeds into $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$. In fact, we have:

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be a scheme, $\mathbb{A}_S^2 = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_S^2))$ be the affine plane over S and C_S the 0-scheme of a section $f \in \text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_S^2)$. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_n(C_S)$ is the 0-scheme of the section $f^{[n]} \in H^0(\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}_S^2), \mathcal{T})$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of [1, Proposition 4]. □

For any $d \geq 1$ we write $\text{Hilb}_n^{\leq d}(f) \subset \text{Hilb}_n(f)$ for the open subscheme of ideals $I \subset \mathcal{O}_C$ that can be generated by d elements. We will also denote $\text{Hilb}_n^1(f) := \text{Hilb}_n^{\leq 1}(f) \subseteq \text{Hilb}_n(f)$ the 1-generator Hilbert scheme i.e. the locus of principal ideals:

$$\text{Hilb}_n^1(f) = \{I \in \text{Hilb}_n(f) \mid I = (g) \text{ for some } g \in \mathcal{O}_C\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *The 1-generator subscheme $\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)$ agrees with the smooth locus of $\text{Hilb}_n(f)$.*

Proof. This is [30, Proposition 6.5.]. To elaborate, the tangent space at $x \in \text{Hilb}_n(f)$ corresponding to a closed subscheme Z is given by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(I_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. If $x \in \text{Hilb}_n^1(f)$, or equivalently when Z is Cartier, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(I_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, which has dimension n . So these points are in the smooth locus. Conversely, suppose $x \in \text{Hilb}_n(f) \setminus \text{Hilb}_n^1(f)$. The tangent space at x decomposes as a direct product over the components of Z , so we can assume Z is supported at a single point. Further, we can pass from \mathcal{O}_C to the completed local ring, which will be isomorphic to $k[[x, y]]/g$ for some $g \in k[[x, y]]$. The completion of I_Z corresponds to an ideal in $k[[x, y]]/g$. By an abuse of notation, we also denote these completions by \mathcal{O}_C, I_Z .

Lifting I_Z to $\tilde{I}_Z \subset k[[x, y]]$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\tilde{I}_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$$

where the second map is the obvious embedding and the last map is evaluation. In the proof of [30, Proposition 6.5.], it is proved that the image of the last map lies in \mathfrak{m}_Z , the

maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_Z . Since $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}_Z = n - 1$, the exact sequence implies a lower bound

$$\dim_k T_x(\mathrm{Hilb}_n(f)) \geq 2n - n + 1 = n + 1$$

and hence the points outside the 1-generator locus are singular. \square

Finally, we consider for any $d \geq 1$ the deformation $f - t^d$ seen as a subscheme of $\mathbb{A}_{k[[t]]}^2$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $d \geq 1$. Then any section s of the relative Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(f - t^d) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k[[t]])$ evaluates to an ideal with at most d -generators: $s|_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \in \mathrm{Hilb}_n^{\leq d}(f)$.*

Proof. The local structure ring of the ambient space is $R = (k[x, y] \otimes k[[t]])_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, t)$ is the maximal ideal. Having a section s is equivalent to having an ideal $I \subset R$ such that $f - t^d \in I$ and R/I is flat over $k[[t]]$ of length n . Thus R/I is finite rank over $k[[t]]$ and since $\mathrm{Spec}(k[[t]])$ is regular, we conclude that R/I is Cohen-Macaulay.

Since R/I is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $\mathrm{depth}(R/I) = \mathrm{dim}(R/I) = 1$ and by the Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem [20, Theorem A 2.15] we conclude that the projective dimension of R/I is 2. Hence I admits two step free resolution and by the Hilbert-Burch theorem [20, Theorem 3.2] there is g by $g + 1$ matrix A with entries in \mathfrak{m} such that we have short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow R^g \xrightarrow{A} R^{g+1} \xrightarrow{G} I \rightarrow 0.$$

where G is the row of the minors of A and $g + 1$ is the minimal number of generators of I .

Next, we observe that $f - t^d \in \mathfrak{m}^d \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{d+1}$ and that the entries of G are in \mathfrak{m}^g . Hence we conclude that $g \leq d$ and I has $g + 1$ generators h_1, \dots, h_{g+1} . If $g < d$ then the statement is proven otherwise we need to explain why $f - t^d$ is one of the generators h_i .

Indeed, if $g = d$ then $h_i = \sum_{j=0}^d t^j \alpha_j^i$, $\alpha_j^i \in \mathfrak{m}^{n-j}$. On the other hand $f - t^d = \sum_i \beta_i h_i$, $\beta_i \in R$ and hence at least one of β_i is not vanishing modulo \mathfrak{m} . In particular, β_i is a unit in R and $h_i = (f - t^d) \beta_i^{-1} - \sum_{j \neq i} h_j \beta_j / \beta_i$ and I is generated by $f - t^d$ and h_j , $j \neq i$. \square

2.5. Motivic integration.

2.5.1. *Grothendieck Rings.* We denote by $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ the Grothendieck ring of varieties over k and write \mathbb{L} for the class of the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 . We further write \mathcal{M}_k for the localized ring $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$ and $\mathcal{C}_k = \mathcal{M}_k[(1 - \mathbb{L}^i)^{-1} : i \geq 1]$.

In [23] the authors construct power structures on $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ and \mathcal{M}_k and in particular plethystic operations. For \mathcal{R} either $K_0(\mathrm{Var}_k)$ or \mathcal{M}_k , the plethystic exponential is a group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Exp} : T\mathcal{R}[[T]] \rightarrow 1 + T\mathcal{R}[[T]],$$

defined by the formula

$$\mathrm{Exp}(a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + \dots) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - T^n)^{-a_n}.$$

Here, if $a = [X] \in \mathcal{R}$ is the class of a variety, then by [23, Theorem 1] we have that:

$$(1 - T)^{-a} = \zeta_X(T) = \sum_{m \geq 0} [\mathrm{Sym}_m X] T^m$$

is the Kapranov zeta function of X [29], with $\mathrm{Sym}_m X$ the m -th symmetric power of X defined by

$$\mathrm{Sym}_m X = X \times X \times \cdots \times X / S_m,$$

where S_m denotes the m -th symmetric group. We extend this by defining the m -th symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}_m(a) \in \mathcal{R}$ of any element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ by

$$\mathrm{Exp}(aT) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \mathrm{Sym}_m(a) T^m.$$

By [23, Statement 3] we have in particular $\mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathbb{L}^{\pm 1} a) = \mathbb{L}^{\pm m} \mathrm{Sym}_m(a)$. Following [13, Appendix B] one can further extend Exp and thus Sym_m for $m \geq 0$, to the ring

$$\mathcal{C}_k[\mathbb{L}^{1/2}] = \mathcal{M}_k[\mathbb{L}^{1/2}, (1 - \mathbb{L}^i)^{-1} : i \geq 1],$$

in such a way that $\mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathbb{L}^{\pm 1/2} a) = \mathbb{L}^{\pm m/2} \mathrm{Sym}_m(a)$. Here $\mathbb{L}^{1/2}$ corresponds to the negative square root in *loc. cit.*, see also [33, Example 6.1].

2.5.2. Order function and absolute values. Let \mathcal{X} be a $k[[t]]$ -scheme and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a closed subscheme with ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} . For any $x \in \mathcal{X}(k[[t]])$ we define the order $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x)$ of x along \mathcal{Z} as

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } x^* \mathcal{I} = (t^d) \\ \infty & \text{if } x^* \mathcal{I} = (0). \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x) = \infty$ if and only if $x \in \mathcal{Z}(k[[t]])$. If \mathcal{X} is affine and \mathcal{I} generated by $z_1, \dots, z_k : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, then $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{\mathrm{ord}_t z_i(x)\}$.

There is a unique way of extending $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ to a function

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{X}(\overline{k[[t]])} = \bigcup_{r \geq 1} \mathcal{X}(k[[t^{1/r}]]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Given a subscheme $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}(\overline{k[[t]])}$ we will use that

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Y}}(y) = \mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z}}(y),$$

where on the right we consider y as an $\overline{k[[t]]}$ -point of \mathcal{X} .

Finally, given a regular map $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ or a subscheme $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ we write $|f|$ and $|\mathcal{Z}|$ for the maps $\mathcal{X}(k[[t]]) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ defined by

$$(2.2) \quad x \mapsto \mathbb{L}^{-\mathrm{ord}_t(f(x))} \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto \mathbb{L}^{-\mathrm{ord}_{\mathcal{Z}}(x)}.$$

When working with $k[[t^{1/n}]]$ -points for some $n \geq 1$ we will write $|\cdot|_n$ to indicate that we compute the absolute value with respect to the uniformizer $t^{1/n}$.

2.5.3. *Cluckers-Loeser integration.* We use the integration theory developed in [11]. As in [11, 16.2] and in the notation of [31] we consider the category $\text{Def}_{\text{acf},k}$ of definable subassignments in the Denef-Pas language restricted to the theory of algebraically closed fields.

Concretely, an object $h \in \text{Def}_{\text{acf},k}$ is given by an assignment

$$K \mapsto h(K) \subset K((t))^n \times K^m \times \mathbb{Z}^r,$$

where K runs through all algebraically closed fields containing k , $m, n, r \geq 0$ and $h(K)$ is cut out by a formula in the Denef-Pas language over k . In particular any affine finite type scheme $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ over $k((t))$ defines an element in $\text{Def}_{\text{acf},k}$, which we still denote by X , by means of

$$K \mapsto X(K((t))) \subset K((t))^n \times K^0 \times \mathbb{Z}^0.$$

Similarly, for an affine finite type scheme $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ over $k[[t]]$ we still write \mathcal{X} for the assignment

$$K \mapsto \mathcal{X}(K[[t]]).$$

Finally, we will sometimes consider the assignments X_n, \mathcal{X}_n given by $K \mapsto X(K((t^{1/n})))$ or $K \mapsto \mathcal{X}(K[[t^{1/n}]])$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. Notice that these are simply the assignments associated to the Weil restrictions of $X \times_{k((t))} k((t^{1/n}))$ and $X \times_{k[[t]]} k[[t^{1/n}]]$ along $k((t)) \rightarrow k((t^{1/n}))$ and $k[[t]] \rightarrow k[[t^{1/n}]]$ respectively.

These constructions can be globalized to obtain a category $\text{GDef}_{\text{acf},k}$ consisting of definable subassignments of algebraic varieties.

To any $S \in \text{Def}_{\text{acf},k}$ one can associate a ring of constructible motivic functions $\mathcal{C}(S)$ in such a way that for the terminal object $*_k \in \text{Def}_{\text{acf},k}$ we have

$$\mathcal{C}(*_k) = \mathcal{C}_k = K_0(\text{Var}_k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}, (1 - \mathbb{L}^i)^{-1} : i \geq 1].$$

Typical examples of constructible motivic functions are the maps in (2.2).

The content of [11] is an integration formalism for constructible motivic functions with respect to definable volume forms satisfying in particular a change of variables formula and a Fubini theorem.

The forms one integrates in this theory are definable forms $|\omega|$ as defined in [11, Section 8.2]. On a definable subassignment associated with a $k((t))$ -variety X any top-dimensional form ω on the smooth locus X^{sm} of X defines a definable form $|\omega|$ and we have an identification $|\omega| = |\omega'|$ if $\omega = f\omega'$ for some $f : X^{sm} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ with $|f| \equiv 1$.

The definable forms in this paper will thus typically be constructed as follows. Given $X/k((t))$, an open cover $X^{sm} = \bigcup_i U_i$ of its smooth locus and forms ω_i on U_i such that on $U_i \cap U_j$ we have $\omega_i = f_{ij}\omega_j$ for a function f_{ij} satisfying $|f_{ij}| \equiv 1$, then the U_i glue together to a definable form on X .

Given a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, integrable with respect to a definable form $|\omega|$, one obtains a class

$$\int_X f|\omega| \in \mathcal{C}_k.$$

In the following if we write $\int_X f|\omega| = a$ we mean f is integrable with respect to $|\omega|$ and $\int_X f|\omega| = a$.

One way to compute motivic integrals is by means of Néron smoothenings. Given $\mathcal{X}/k[[t]]$ separated, flat and of finite type and of relative dimension d with smooth generic fiber, there exists a smooth finite type $k[[t]]$ -scheme \mathcal{Y} and a $k[[t]]$ -morphism $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, which is generically an isomorphism and for every extension K/k induces a bijection $\mathcal{Y}(K[[t]]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}(K[[t]])$ [6, Theorem 3.1.3]. For any volume form ω on $\mathcal{X}_{k((t))}$ one then has with the same proof as in [12, Proposition 11.10]

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathcal{X}} |\omega| = \mathbb{L}^{-d} \sum_{C \in \pi_0(\mathcal{Y}_k)} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_C \omega} [C],$$

where $\pi_0(\mathcal{Y}_k)$ denotes the set of connected components of the smooth special fiber \mathcal{Y}_k and $\text{ord}_C \omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ the order of vanishing of ω along the component C .

2.5.4. Motivic Igusa Zeta functions. Consider a non-constant polynomial map $f : \mathbb{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ over k with $f(0) = 0$. The (local) n -th restricted contact locus of f is defined as

$$(2.4) \quad X_{f,n}^0 = \{x \in \mathbb{A}^m(k[t]/t^{n+1})_0 \mid f(x) = t^n \in k[t]/t^{n+1}\},$$

where $\mathbb{A}^m(k[t]/t^{n+1})_0$ denotes the locus of jets reducing to 0 modulo t .

The *motivic Igusa zeta function* of f is defined as the generating series

$$(2.5) \quad Z_f(T) = \sum_{n \geq 1} [X_{f,n}^0] \mathbb{L}^{-mn} T^n \in \mathcal{M}_k[[T]].$$

In fact, using an embedded resolution of singularities one can show that $Z_f(T) \in \mathcal{C}_k(T)$ [17]. There is an additional μ_n -action on each $X_{f,n}^0$ but we will ignore it in this paper.

Our goal is to give an expression for the plethystic exponential $\text{Exp}(Z_f(T)) \in \mathcal{C}_k[[T]]$. For this we will need a slightly different way of writing the Igusa zeta function following [36].

Let $\omega_m = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m$ be the standard form on \mathbb{A}^m and consider the relative $(m-1)$ -form ω_m/df on the smooth locus of f .

Next consider the $k[[t]]$ -scheme \mathcal{X}_f obtained as the pullback of $\mathbb{A}^m \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}^1$ under the completion morphism $\text{Spec}(k[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k[t])$, explicitly

$$\mathcal{X}_f = \text{Spec}(k[[t]][x_1, \dots, x_m]/f - t).$$

Then ω_m/df induces a volume form ω_f on the generic fiber of \mathcal{X}_f called the *Gelfand-Leray form*.

For any $n \geq 1$ let $\mathcal{X}_{f,n}^0$ be the definable subassignment

$$K \mapsto \{x \in \mathcal{X}_f(K[[t^{1/n}]]) \mid x_K = 0\},$$

where we write x_K for the restriction of an arc to its closed point. We also set $\mathcal{X}_f^0 = \mathcal{X}_{f,1}^0$, which admits an alternative description as the assignment associated with the $k[[t]]$ -scheme

$$\mathcal{X}_f^0 = \text{Spec}(k[[t]][[x_1, \dots, x_m]]/f - t).$$

Lemma 2.6. [36, Lemma 9.9] *For any $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_{f,n}^0} |\omega_f|_n = \mathbb{L}^{-(n+1)(m-1)} [X_{f,n}^0] \in \mathcal{C}_k.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.6 uses an embedded resolution of singularities f to compute both sides independently. Technically a different integration theory is used in *loc. cit.*, but the same argument can be applied in the Cluckers-Loeser theory using (2.3).

3. ARCS AND GELFAND FORMS ON HILBERT SCHEMES

Let $f \in k[X, Y]$ be reduced and nonconstant with $f(0, 0) = 0$ defining an isolated singularity at 0. We write $C = \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ for the associated curve. Consider the relative curve $\mathcal{X}_f/k[[t]]$ as in Section 2.5.4 and the relative Hilbert-Chow morphism

$$h : \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f),$$

sending a length n subscheme to its support. Since the generic fiber of \mathcal{X}_f is smooth, $h|_{k((t))}$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore h is proper and thus we have for every K/k and every $K[[t]]$ -point of $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ a unique lift to a $K[[t]]$ -point of $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$, in other words the definable subassignments associated with $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ and $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ are isomorphic.

Recall that $\text{Hilb}_n^1(f) \subset \text{Hilb}_n(f)$ denotes the 1-generator locus. We further write $\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0 = \text{Hilb}_n^1(f) \cap h^{-1}(n[0])$ for the closed subscheme of ideals supported at the origin, where we use the notation $n[0] \in \text{Sym}_n(C)$ for the image of $(0, \dots, 0) \in C^n$ in $\text{Sym}_n(C)$. As a direct consequence of Lemma 2.4 we have

Corollary 3.1. *For any K/k and any $x \in \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)(K[[t]])$ such that $(h_*x)_K = n[0] \in \text{Sym}_n(C)$ we have $x_K \in \text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0$.*

Next we use Corollary 3.1 to construct a definable volume form on $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)$, the definable subassignment given by

$$K \mapsto \{x \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)(K[[t]]) \mid x_K = n[0]\}.$$

First, by Corollary 3.1 we have an isomorphism of $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)$ with $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)$ defined by

$$K \mapsto \{x \in \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)(K[[t]]) \mid x_K \in \text{Hilb}_n^1(C)_0\}.$$

Next recall the open covering $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2) = \bigcup_{\lambda \vdash n} U_\lambda$ from Section 2.1.1. Since $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f) \subset \text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ we obtain an open covering of $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ by setting $U_{f,\lambda} = U_\lambda \cap \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$. By Lemma 2.2 we can also describe $U_{f,\lambda}$ as

$$U_{f,\lambda} = \{f_\lambda^{[n]} = 0\} \subset U_\lambda.$$

Now since $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is algebraic symplectic there exists in particular a global non-vanishing top-form $\omega^{[n]}$. We define the *Gelfand form* ω_λ^{gel} on the smooth locus $U_{f,\lambda}^{sm} \subset U_{f,\lambda}$ as

$$\omega_\lambda^{gel} = \omega^{[n]} / f_\lambda^{[n]*} \nu,$$

where $\nu = dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n$ is the standard form on \mathbb{A}^n .

Proposition 3.2. *The definable forms $|\omega_\lambda^{gel}|$ glue together to give a non-vanishing definable form $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ on $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0) \cong \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)$*

Proof. For any two partitions $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$ the two forms $\omega_\lambda^{gel}, \omega_\mu^{gel}$ differ by an invertible function $u_{\lambda\mu}$ on $U_{f,\lambda}^{sm} \cap U_{f,\mu}^{sm}$. By combining Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 3.1 we see that for any K/k , any $x \in \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)(K[[t]])$ factors through the smooth locus of $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ and thus $|u_{\lambda\mu}(x)| = 1$ which implies the proposition. \square

Theorem 3.3. *For any $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_n^{gel}| = \mathbb{L}^{-n} [\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0].$$

Proof. Since $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0) \cong \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)$ this follows from (2.3). \square

We also record the following for later.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{I} \in U_\lambda(K[[t]])$ and $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{A}^2)^n \overline{(K((t)))}$ a preimage of $\text{supp}(\mathcal{I}_{|_{K((t))}}) \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)(K((t)))$ under the Hilbert-Chow morphism. Then*

$$\text{ord}_t(\Delta_\lambda(x, y)) \leq \text{ord}_t(\Delta_M(x, y)),$$

for all $M \subset \mathbb{N}^2$ with $|M| = n$.

Proof. This follows since on U_λ the fraction Δ_M/Δ_λ defines a regular function [27, Proposition 2.6.], thus $\Delta_M(x, y)/\Delta_\lambda(x, y) \in K[[t]]$. \square

3.5. The motivic Poincaré series. In this subsection we assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. Consider the generating series

$$P_{gel}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_n^{gel}| T^n \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[[T]].$$

We will show that $P_{gel}(T)$ equals the motivic Poincaré series of f introduced in [9].

The motivic Poincaré series of [9] is defined as follows when $m = 2$. If the germ $(C, 0)$ defined by f contains r branches, we can define a multi-index filtration on $k[[x, y]]$ by setting

$$J_{\underline{v}} = \{g \in k[[x, y]] \mid v_i(g) \geq v_i\}$$

where $\underline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $v_i(g)$ is the order of the pullback of f to the normalization of the i -th branch of C . Let $h(\underline{v}) = \text{codim}_{k[[x, y]]} J_{\underline{v}}$ and write

$$L_f(t_1, \dots, t_r, \mathbb{L}^{-1}) = \sum_{\underline{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-h(\underline{v})} - \mathbb{L}^{-h(\underline{v}+1)}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-1}} t^{\underline{v}}.$$

By [9] one can define the motivic Poincaré series of f as

$$P_f(t_1, \dots, t_r; \mathbb{L}^{-1}) = \frac{L_f(t_1, \dots, t_r, \mathbb{L}^{-1}) \prod_{i=1}^r (t_i - 1)}{t_1 \cdots t_r - 1}.$$

In particular, when $r = 1$ and the curve is unibranch, we have $L_f(t, \mathbb{L}^{-1}) = P_f(t, \mathbb{L}^{-1})$.

Our interest in the motivic Poincaré series is encapsulated in

Proposition 3.6.

$$P_f(t_1 = \cdots = t_r = T, \mathbb{L}^{-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-n} [\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0] T^n = P_{\text{gel}}(T).$$

Proof. As explained in [22, Section 3], the coefficient of $t^v = t_1^{v_1} \cdots t_r^{v_r}$ in $P_f(t_1, \dots, t_r, \mathbb{L}^{-1})$ is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \pi_v(\mathbb{L}^{-1}) = \mathbb{L}^{1-h(v+1)} [\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}'(v)],$$

where $[\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}'(v)]$ is the motive of the projectivization $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}'(v) := \mathcal{H}'(v)/k^\times$ of the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{H}'(v)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}'(v) := \frac{J_v}{J_{v+1}} \setminus \bigcup_i \frac{J_{v+e_i}}{J_{v+1}}$$

where e_i is the i -th basis vector.

Next, note that any nonzero function not proportional to a factor of f gives a finite codimension ideal in $\mathcal{O}_C = k[[x, y]]/f$. Note that these are exactly the functions with finite valuation on each component of C . We can call these regular elements and denote them $\mathcal{O}_C^{\text{reg}}$. For any $g \in \mathcal{O}_C^{\text{reg}}$ one has

$$(3.2) \quad \dim \mathcal{O}_C/(g) = \sum_{i=1}^r v_i(g),$$

which follows from the additivity of intersection numbers of plane curves or from the following direct argument: Let \mathcal{O}_{C_i} be the local rings of the branches and the projections $\iota_i : \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ yield an embedding $\iota : \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \prod_i \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$. The cokernel of the embedding is of finite length and $\iota(g)$ is non-zero divisor for $g \in \mathcal{O}_C^{\text{reg}}$ hence we apply [38, Proposition 6] for $A = \mathcal{O}_C$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ and $f = g$. Thus showed that $\dim(\mathcal{O}_C/(g)) = \sum_i \dim(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}/(\iota_i(g)))$. Finally, $\iota_i(g)$ is not a zero-divisor hence the equality $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{C_i}/(\iota_i(g))) = v_i(g)$ follows from applying [38, Proposition 6] to the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{C_i} \rightarrow k[[t]]$.

Now there is a map $\mathcal{O}_C^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \bigsqcup_n \text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0$ sending $g \mapsto I = (g)$ and the only ambiguity in defining this principal ideal is multiplication by invertible functions in \mathcal{O}_C , which gives a constructible bijection $(J_v \setminus \bigcup_i J_{v+e_i})/\mathcal{O}_C^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hilb}_v^1(f)_0$, where $\text{Hilb}_v^1(f)_0$ is the subset of $\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0$ where the valuations on the components correspond to v .

On the other hand, there is a fibration

$$(J_v \setminus \bigcup_i J_{v+e_i})/\mathcal{O}_C^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}'(v)$$

whose fiber over $[g] \in \mathcal{H}'(v)$ can be identified with $g + J_{v+1}/1 + \mathfrak{m}_C$, where $\mathfrak{m}_C \subset \mathcal{O}_C$ denotes the maximal ideal.

Let us show that the quotient $g + J_{v+1}/1 + \mathfrak{m}_C$ has the class $\mathbb{L}^{d(v)}$ where $d(v)$ is the dimension of $J_{v+1}/g\mathfrak{m}_C$. Indeed, the linear space $\mathfrak{m}_C J_{v+1}$ acts by shifts on the affine space $g + J_{v+1}$ and for any $k \geq 0$ there is a well-defined projection map:

$$p_k : ((g + J_{v+1})/\mathfrak{m}_C^{k+1} J_{v+1}) / (1 + \mathfrak{m}_C) \rightarrow ((g + J_{v+1})/\mathfrak{m}_C^k J_{v+1}) / (1 + \mathfrak{m}_C).$$

The fibers of the map p_k are isomorphic to the affine space

$$V_k = (\mathfrak{m}_C^k J_{v+1} / \mathfrak{m}_C^{k+1} J_{v+1}) / (\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{m}_C \cap \mathfrak{m}_C^k J_{v+1})$$

by the following argument. Let us pick $\bar{h} \in J_{v+1} / \mathfrak{m}_C^k J_{v+1}$ and $h, h' \in J_{v+1} / \mathfrak{m}_C^{k+1} J_{v+1}$ are two lifts of \bar{h} . Then $g + h$ and $g + h'$ are in the same $1 + \mathfrak{m}_C$ -orbit if and only if $h - h' = gm$, $m \in \mathfrak{m}_C$, and the statement follows. To complete the argument we observe that V_k is a point for large k and $d(v) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim(V_k)$.

To finish the proof we observe that $d(v) = \dim J_{v+1} / \mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{m}_C = |v| + 1 - h(v + \underline{1})$, which follows from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_{v+1} / \mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{m}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C / \mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{m}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C / J_{v+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

since $\dim \mathcal{O}_C / J_{v+1} = h(v + \underline{1})$ by definition and $\dim \mathcal{O}_C / \mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{m}_C = |v| + 1$ by (3.2). \square

3.7. The Euler characteristic specialization. In this subsection we assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. Consider the generating series

$$P_{gel}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_n^{gel}| T^n \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[[T]].$$

By Theorem 3.3 we have $P_{gel}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{L}^{-n} [\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0] T^n$ and thus $P_{gel}(T)$ lies in the image of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}[[T]] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}[[T]]$. In particular, we may consider its Euler-characteristic specialization

$$P_{gel, \chi}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \chi(\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0) T^n.$$

If L denotes the link defined by the plane curve singularity $(f, 0)$ and $A_L(T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Z}[T]$ the normalized Alexander polynomial, it follows from [38, Proposition 6 & Lemma 8] that

$$P_{gel, \chi}(T) = \frac{A_L(T)}{1 - T}.$$

On the other hand, since $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ is non-vanishing and the generic fiber of \mathcal{X}_f^0 is smooth, the Euler-characteristic specialization of $\int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_n^{gel}|$ equals the Euler-characteristic specialization of the motivic Serre invariant of $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$ [36, Section 6.1]. To simplify the notation we will use for the rest of this section the symbols $\mathcal{X}_f, \mathcal{X}_f^0, \text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f$ and $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$ to denote both the families over $k[[t]]$ and their generic fibers. Using this, we deduce from the trace formula [36, Theorem 5.4]

$$\chi \left(\int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_n^{gel}| \right) = \text{Tr}(\phi, H_B^*(\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\phi, H_B^i(\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)),$$

where $H_B^*(\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ denotes the Berkovich étale cohomology of the rigid analytic space [4] associated to $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)$ and ϕ is the topological generator of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}((t))) \cong \hat{\mu}$ given by $t^{1/n} \mapsto \exp(2\pi i/n)t^{1/n}$.

Lemma 3.8. *We have*

$$H_B^*(\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong (H_B^*(\mathcal{X}_f^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{\otimes n})^{S_n},$$

as graded $\hat{\mu}$ -modules.

Proof. Instead of working directly with Berkovich étale cohomology we use the comparison with ℓ -adic nearby cycles [5, Corollary 3.5] implying

$$\begin{aligned} H_B^*(\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)}) &\cong H^*(R\psi_\eta(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)})|_{n[0]}), \\ H_B^*(\mathcal{X}_f^0, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \mathcal{X}_f^0}) &\cong H^*(R\psi_\eta(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \mathcal{X}_f^0})|_0). \end{aligned}$$

Here we wrote $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, *}$ for the constant sheaves on (the generic fibers of) the respective spaces. Therefore it suffices to show that we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$R\psi_\eta(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)}) \cong (\pi_* \boxtimes^n R\psi_\eta(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \mathcal{X}_f}))^{S_n}$$

in $D_c^b(\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)_k)$, where \boxtimes denotes the exterior product on \mathcal{X}_f^n and $\pi : \mathcal{X}_f^n \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ the quotient. Notice that taking S_n -invariants can be defined via a projector as for example in [34] and thus $R\psi_\eta$ commutes with taking S_n -invariants. Furthermore $R\psi_\eta$ commutes with proper pushforward [16, XIII 2.1.7] and exterior products [3, Lemma 5.1.1]. The lemma thus follows from the identity $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)} \cong (\pi_* \boxtimes^n \mathbb{Q}_{\ell, \mathcal{X}_f})^{S_n}$. \square

Combining Lemma 3.8 with Lemma 3.11 below we deduce

$$P_{gel, \chi}(T) = \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\phi^n, H_B^*(\mathcal{X}_f^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))}{n} T^n \right).$$

Finally choosing an embedding $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \subset \mathbb{C}$, we deduce from [36, Theorem 9.2] the equality

$$(3.3) \quad \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\phi^n, H_B^*(\mathcal{X}_f^0, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))}{n} T^n \right) = \zeta_{f,0}(T),$$

where $\zeta_{f,0}(T)$ denotes the monodromy zeta function of the Milnor fiber of f at 0. Putting all of this together we find

Corollary 3.9. *For any reduced plane curve singularity $(f, 0)$ with link L we have*

$$\zeta_{f,0}(T) = \frac{A_L(T)}{1-T}.$$

Corollary 3.9 is originally due to Milnor [35, Lemma 10.1].

Remark 3.10. In [24] the authors consider the series $P_{gel, \chi}(T)$ as the Poincaré series of the ring of functions on $(f, 0)$. Our proof of Corollary 3.9 can be seen as an answer to their question, whether there is a direct link between the Poincaré series and the monodromy zeta function [24, Section 1].

Lemma 3.11. *Let k be a field of characteristic 0, V^* a finite dimensional \mathbb{Z} -graded k -vector space and ϕ^* an endomorphism of V^* preserving the grading. Then*

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\text{Tr}((\phi^*)^n, V^*)}{n} T^n\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{Tr}((\phi^*)^{\otimes n}, ((V^*)^{\otimes n})^{S_n}) T^n = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \det(1 - \phi^i T)^{(-1)^{i+1}},$$

where for a graded endomorphism ψ^* of a finite dimensional graded k -vector space W^* we wrote $\text{Tr}(\psi^*, W^*) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\psi^i, W^i)$.

Proof. When there is no grading and ϕ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$, we have

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{p_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)}{n} T^n\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) T^n = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_i T} = \frac{1}{\det(1 - \phi T)}$$

The general ungraded case can be deduced from the Zariski density of diagonalizable φ .

To upgrade to the graded case, we simply note the well-known identities $p_n(-\lambda_1, \dots, -\lambda_m) = (-1)^n p_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $h_n(-\lambda_1, \dots, -\lambda_m) = (-1)^n e_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ and $\sum_{n \geq 0} e_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) T^n = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + \lambda_i T)$ and apply these for the odd graded pieces. \square

4. THE ORBIFOLD MEASURE ON SYMMETRIC POWERS

Let $\mathcal{X}/k[[t]]$ be separated, flat and of finite type with smooth d -dimensional generic fiber. Furthermore let $0 \in \mathcal{X}_k$ be a point in the special fiber of \mathcal{X} and define $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}^0)$ as the definable subassignment whose K -points are given by $\{x \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X})(K[[t]]) \mid x_K = n[0]\}$ as before.

Let $p_i : \mathcal{X}^n = \mathcal{X} \times_{k[[t]]} \cdots \times_{k[[t]]} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the projection onto the i -th coordinate. Given an algebraic d -form ω on $\mathcal{X}_{k((t))}$, consider the dn -form $\omega_n = p_1^* \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n^* \omega$ on $\mathcal{X}_{k((t))}^n$. For any $\sigma \in S_n$ we have $\sigma^* \omega_n = \text{sgn}(\sigma)^d \omega_n$. Thus ω_n does not descend to a form on $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_{k((t))}$ unless d is even.

Instead of ω_n we thus consider its tensor-square $\omega_n^{\otimes 2} \in H^0\left(\mathcal{X}_{k((t))}^n, (\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{k((t))}^n/k((t))}^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 2}\right)$. This form now descends to the orbifold form ω_{orb} on $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_{k((t))}$ and for any choice of square root $\mathbb{L}^{1/2}$ of \mathbb{L} we obtain, assuming integrability, a well-defined class

$$\int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}^0} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{C}_k[\mathbb{L}^{1/2}].$$

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that $|\omega|_n$ is integrable on \mathcal{X}_n^0 for each $n \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}^0} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} = \sum_{\lambda=(1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \dots) \vdash n} \mathbb{L}^{-v(\lambda)} \prod_{i \geq 1} \text{Sym}_{a_i} \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}_i^0} |\omega|_i \right),$$

where $v(\lambda) = \frac{d}{2} \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i (i - 1)$.

Proof. Since everything depends only on a neighborhood of 0 we may assume that \mathcal{X} is affine. Let us assume first that $0 \in \mathcal{X}$ is a smooth point of $\mathcal{X}/k[[t]]$. Then the completed local ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},0}$ is isomorphic to $k[[t]][[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$. Since ω is generically non-vanishing we may write $\omega = \lambda dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_d$ in these local coordinates for some $\lambda \in k[[t]]$. Then $|\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} = |\lambda|^n |(dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_d)_{orb}|^{1/2}$ and also $|\omega|_i = |\lambda|^i |dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_d|$ on \mathcal{X}_i^0 . Thus after multiplying by $|\lambda|^{-n}$ on both sides we may assume that $\omega = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_d$. In this case the proposition reduces to the orbifold formula for S_n acting on $(\mathbb{A}^d)^n$ as it is proven for example in [19, Theorem 3.6]. In the notation of *loc. cit* we have

$$\int_{\mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{A}^m)^0} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} = \sum_{[\sigma] \in \mathrm{Conj}(S_n)} \mathbb{L}^{-w(\sigma)},$$

where $\mathrm{Conj}(S_n)$ denotes conjugacy classes in S_n and the weight $w(\sigma)$ is defined as follows: Let r denote the order of σ and ξ a primitive r -th root of unity. Then there are unique integers $1 \leq e_{\sigma,i} \leq r$ for $1 \leq i \leq dn$ such that $\xi^{e_{\sigma,i}}$ are the eigenvalues for the action of σ on $(\mathbb{A}^d)^n$. If σ has cycle-type given by the partition $\lambda = (1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \dots)$ then one gets the formula

$$w(\sigma) = \frac{d}{2} \sum_i a_i(i+1) = v(\lambda) + d \sum_i a_i.$$

Since in this case $\mathrm{Sym}_{a_i} \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}_i^0} |\omega|_i \right) = \mathrm{Sym}_{a_i} \mathbb{L}^{-d} = \mathbb{L}^{-da_i}$ the statement follows.

If $0 \in \mathcal{X}$ is not a smooth point, we may choose by [36, Proposition 2.5] a resolution of singularities, that is a regular, flat $k[[t]]$ -scheme \mathcal{Y} with $\mathcal{Y}_k = \sum_i N_i E_i$ a strict normal crossing divisor, and a proper birational morphism $\pi: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which is an isomorphism on generic fibers. Regularity implies that for any K/k , any $K[[t]]$ -point of \mathcal{Y} factors through the smooth locus of \mathcal{Y} [6, Proposition 3.2]. By [36, Lemma 4.3, Theorem 4.5] we may further assume that the same holds true for $K[[t^{1/i}]]$ -points for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The proposition thus reduces to the smooth case proven above. \square

If we apply this to \mathcal{X}_f^0 and ω_f with $f: \mathbb{A}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ as in Section 2.5.4 we get the following interpretation of the plethystic exponential of the motivic Igusa zeta function:

Theorem 4.2.

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} T^n = \mathrm{Exp} \left(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-1}{2}} Z_f(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-3}{2}} T) \right).$$

Proof. Combining Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 2.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathrm{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} &= \sum_{\lambda=(1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \dots) \vdash n} \mathbb{L}^{-v(\lambda)} \prod_{i \geq 1} \mathrm{Sym}_{a_i} \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}_{f,i}^0} |\omega_f|_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=(1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \dots) \vdash n} \mathbb{L}^{-v(\lambda)} \prod_{i \geq 1} \mathrm{Sym}_{a_i} \left(\mathbb{L}^{-(i+1)(m-1)} [X_{f,i}^0] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for the generating series we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} T^n &= \sum_{\lambda=(1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \dots)} \mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-1}{2} \sum a_i (i-1)} \prod_{i \geq 1} \text{Sym}_{a_i} (\mathbb{L}^{-(i+1)(m-1)} [X_{f,i}^0]) T^{\sum a_i i} \\
&= \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(\sum_{a \geq 0} \text{Sym}_a \left(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{(m-1)(3i+1)}{2}} [X_{f,i}^0] \right) T^{ia} \right) \\
&= \prod_{i \geq 1} \text{Exp}(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{(m-1)(3i+1)}{2}} [X_{f,i}^0] T^i) \\
&= \text{Exp} \left(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-1}{2}} \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{L}^{-im} [X_{f,i}^0] (\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-3}{2}} T)^i \right) = \text{Exp} \left(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-1}{2}} Z_f(\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{m-3}{2}} T) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.3. If we take $f \in k[x, y, z]$, then the generic fiber of \mathcal{X}_f is a smooth surface and $h : \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f|_{k((t))}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f|_{k((t))})$ is a crepant resolution. Thus by the change of variables formula

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)} |h^* \omega_{orb}|^{1/2} T^n = \text{Exp}(\mathbb{L}^{-1} Z_f(T)).$$

It would be interesting to see, if the left hand side admits a description in term of the Hilbert scheme of the surface singularity $f = 0$ similar to Theorem 3.3.

4.4. Relation to the Gelfand form. Let $f \in k[X, Y]$ be an isolated plane curve singularity as in Section 3. In this section we give a precise relation between $|\omega_{orb}|$ and $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ on $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$.

For this we write $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{A}_{k[[t]]}^2$ for the affine plane over $\text{Spec}(k[[t]])$ and consider the discriminant subscheme $\tilde{\Delta} \subset (\mathbb{A}^2)^n$ given by

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \bigcup_{i \neq j} \{x_i = x_j, y_i = y_j\}.$$

It follows from the results of Haiman, see e.g. [25], that the ideal J defining $\tilde{\Delta}$ equals the ideal generated by the diagonally alternating polynomials on $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ and is generated by the functions $\{\Delta_M\}_{|M|=n}$ introduced in Section 2.1.1. Furthermore the image $\Delta \subset \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ of $\tilde{\Delta}$ has defining ideal $J \cap k[X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n]^{S_n}$ which has generators $\{\Delta_M \Delta_{M'}\}_{|M|=|M'|=n}$.

We write $\tilde{\Delta}_f$ and Δ_f for the intersection with $\mathcal{X}_f^n \subset (\mathbb{A}^2)^n$ and $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f) \subset \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ respectively.

Proposition 4.5. *For any $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{\Delta_f}/2} |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} = |\omega_n^{gel}|$$

on $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$.

Proof. The proof goes by comparing the underlying algebraic forms defining both sides when pulled back under $\pi : \mathcal{X}_f^n \rightarrow \text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$. For the left hand side recall that $\pi^*\omega_{orb} = (p_1^*\omega_f \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n^*\omega_f)^{\otimes 2}$ by definition. Furthermore for any $x \in \text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0(K[[t]])$ and any lift $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}_f^n(\overline{K[[t]])}$ we have $\text{ord}_{\Delta_f}(x) = \text{ord}_{\Delta}(x) = 2\text{ord}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(\tilde{x})$ since the generators of the ideal of Δ are the pairwise products of the generators of the ideal defining $\tilde{\Delta}$.

For the right hand side recall that we have an open cover $\bigcup_{\lambda \vdash n} U_{f,\lambda} = \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ and $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ is glued together from the absolute values of the forms $\omega_\lambda^{gel} = \omega^{[n]}/f_\lambda^{[n]*} \nu$ on $U_{f,\lambda}^{sm}$. Let $\mathcal{X}'_f = \mathcal{X}_f \setminus \{0\}$ denote the smooth locus of \mathcal{X}_f . Then $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}'_f) \cong \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}'_f)$ and we can think of $U'_{f,\lambda} = U_{f,\lambda}^{sm} \cap \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}'_f)$ as an open in $\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)$ and hence compute $\pi^*\omega_{\lambda|U'_{f,\lambda}}^{gel}$.

First since $\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{A}^2) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is crepant, it follows that $\omega^{[n]}$ pulls back to the standard form $dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_n$ on $(\mathbb{A}^2)^n$. In order to compute $\pi^*f_\lambda^{[n]*} \nu$ we use that by (2.1)

$$(f_\lambda^{[n]} \circ \pi)(x, y) = B_\lambda^{-1}(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1, y_1) \\ f(x_2, y_2) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_n, y_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\pi^*\omega_\lambda^{gel} = \pi^*\omega^{[n]}/\pi^*f_\lambda^{[n]*} \nu$ can be written as

$$\pi^*\omega_\lambda^{gel} = (\Delta_\lambda(x, y) + t\alpha_1(x, y) + t^2\alpha_2(x, y) + \dots)p_1^*\omega_f \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n^*\omega_f,$$

with $\alpha_i \in K[x, y]$. Since $\pi^*\omega_\lambda^{gel}$ is S_n -invariant, all the $\alpha_i(x, y)$ are alternating.

Now given any $z \in \text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0(K[[t]]) \cong \text{Hilb}_n(\mathcal{X}_f^0)(K[[t]])$ there exists a $\lambda \vdash n$ such that $x \in U_{f,\lambda}(K[[t]])$. Let $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{A}^2)^n(\overline{K((t))})$ be such that $\pi(x, y) = z|_{K((t))}$. Then from Lemma 3.4 and the fact that the ideal J defining $\tilde{\Delta}$ is generated by the functions $\{\Delta_M\}_{|M|=n}$ we finally get

$$|\Delta_\lambda(x, y) + t\alpha_1(x, y) + t^2\alpha_2(x, y) + \dots| = |\Delta_\lambda(x, y)| = \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(x, y)},$$

which finishes the proof. \square

The motivic version of Igusa's monodromy conjecture [17] relates the poles of $Z_f(T)$ to the roots of $\zeta_{f,0}(T)$. Hence it might be interesting to relate the integrals of $|\omega_{orb}|$ and $|\omega_n^{gel}|$ on $\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0$. Proposition 4.5 gives a way of doing so by considering the series

$$Q_f(s, T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{\text{Sym}_n \mathcal{X}_f^0} |\Delta_f|^s |\omega_{orb}|^{1/2} T^n,$$

for some formal parameter s . In Section 6 below we discuss a few examples showing that the computation of $Q_f(s, T)$ seems to be an interesting challenge.

5. RELATION TO FLOER THEORIES

5.1. Fixed point Floer homology. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ and $f^{-1}(0) = C \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ the plane curve it defines. Denote the Milnor fiber of f by $\Sigma := f^{-1}(\epsilon) \cap B_{\delta,0}$ and let $\varphi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the symplectomorphism given by the monodromy of the Milnor fibration.

Following [32, Example 3.11] and [14, Section 5.3], by perturbing φ slightly, one can canonically attach to the pair (Σ, φ) a (graded abstract) contact open book satisfying a number of desirable properties. In particular, one can define ([32, p.979–980]) the *fixed point Floer homology groups* $HF_*(\varphi^n, +)$ of $\varphi^n : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ for $n \geq 1$. These are graded \mathbb{Z} -modules associated to $\varphi^n : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, only depending on the embedded contact type of the link L of f . In this case we have

Theorem 5.2. [15, Theorem 1.1.] *There is an isomorphism of graded \mathbb{Z} -modules*

$$HF_*(\varphi^n, +) \cong H_c^{*+2n+1}(X_{f,n}^0)$$

where $X_{f,n}^0$ is the m -th restricted contact locus as before.

Theorem 5.2 is expected to hold for any hypersurface [7]. A fortiori, this implies an equality of Euler characteristics $\chi(HF_*(\varphi^n, +)) = (-1)^d \chi(X_{f,n}^0)$, where $d = \dim X$. We note that the equality of Euler characteristics independently follows from the results of [18].

Remark 5.3. We also note that a definition of $HF_*(\varphi^n, +)$ with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients is also given in [14, 6.2.5.]. Theorem 5.2 works either \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients, as explained in [15, Remark 4.12].

5.4. Knot Floer homology. We consider another Floer-theoretic invariant of the link L defined by f . It is the minus version of the *knot Floer homology* of L , defined in [39] for $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients and in [40] for \mathbb{Z} -coefficients.

If L is a link with r components, the knot(/link) Floer homology is a \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} -graded $\mathbb{Z}[U]$ -module

$$HFL^-(L, S^3) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r, d \in \mathbb{Z}} HFL_d^-(L, S^3, v)$$

where U is an operator which decreases the Maslov/homological grading by 2 and the Alexander grading by $\underline{1}$. We will drop S^3 from the notation, as it is always the ambient 3-manifold for us. Taking the Euler characteristic in the Alexander grading, one has ([40, Theorem 11.6.1])

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \chi(HFL^-(L, v)) t^v = \begin{cases} A_L(t_1, \dots, t_r), & \text{if } r > 1 \\ \frac{A_L(t)}{1-t}, & \text{if } r = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $A_L(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ is the multivariate Alexander polynomial of L .

It follows from [22, Corollary 1.6] and Proposition 3.6 that we have the following.

Corollary 5.5. *We have an equality*

$$P_{gel}(T) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^r, d \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim HFL_d^-(L, v) \mathbb{L}^{d-2h(v)} T^{|v|},$$

where $h(\underline{v}) = \text{codim}_k[[x,y]]J_{\underline{v}}$ as in Section 3.5.

Remark 5.6. Recall that [22, Corollary 1.6] is proved by relating both sides to the lattice homology of isolated singularities defined by Némethi. Thanks to the integral version of knot Floer homology in [40] the coefficient issue in [22, Corollary 2.1] can be avoided.




FIGURE 1

5.7. Questions and speculations. In [21] Ghiggini and Spano show that for fibered knots $\widehat{HFL}(\overline{K}, 1-g) \cong HF_*(\varphi, \sharp)$ and more generally conjecture that

$$\widehat{HFL}(\overline{K}, i) \cong PFH_{i+g}(T_\varphi, \sharp)$$

where $\widehat{HFL}(-)$ denotes the hat version of knot Floer homology, $HF_*(\varphi, \sharp)$ is an "intermediate" variant of fixed point Floer homology, and $PFH(T_\varphi, \sharp)$ denotes a certain version of the periodic Floer homology of (the mapping torus of) φ . We refer to *loc. cit.* for the precise definitions, but remark that multisets of fixed points give generators of the periodic Floer complex and more generally the theme of realizing Heegaard-Floer theory in terms of Fukaya categories of symmetric powers has been a recurring theme in the Floer theory literature, see for example [2]. It thus seems possible to expect a relation of the form

(5.1) "Exponential of fixed point Floer homologies of the iterates of φ " = $HFL^-(K)$.

Combining Corollary 5.5 with [15, Theorem 1.1.] this leads to the following natural question which can be phrased in purely algebraic terms.

Question 5.8. *Is there an exponential-type relation between $H_c^*(X_{f,n}^0)$ and the cohomology or motives of $\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)$?*

Let us also mention that Rossinelli [42] has shown a relation between curvilinear Hilbert schemes and motivic classes of certain open subvarieties in $X_{f,n}^0$, but we do not see a direct connection with Question 5.8 at the moment. We summarize the relations between the various invariants discussed above in Figure 1.

6. COMPUTATIONS AND EXAMPLES

In this section we discuss the generating series $Q_f(T)$ in the case of the smooth curve $f = x$ and of the simplest non-trivial singularity $f = xy$.

6.1. Smooth curve. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_n(f)$ of the smooth line $f(x, y) = x$ is an affine space $\mathbb{A}^n = \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{A}^1) = \text{Hilb}_n^1(f)$ that can be identified with the space of monic polynomials of degree n , $y^n + \sum_i a_i y^i$. Thus the discriminant Δ_f agrees with the classical discriminant Disc_n . Moreover, since $\text{Hilb}_n(f)$ is smooth we have $|\omega^{gel}| = |da_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_{n-1}|$ and the function $Q_f(s, T)$ is a generating function for the Igusa zeta functions of discriminants:

$$Q_f(s, T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n(s) T^n, \quad I_n(s) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_0^n} |\text{Disc}_n|^{s-1/2} |da_0 \cdots da_{n-1}|.$$

For small values of n the corresponding Igusa zeta function can be computed. For $n = 1$ the discriminant is constant and $I_1(s) = \mathbb{L}^{-1}$. For $n = 2$ the discriminant is $a_1 - 4a_0^2$. Thus after the change of variables $\tilde{a}_1 = a_1 - 4a_0^2$, $\tilde{a}_0 = a_0$ we obtain:

$$I_2(s) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_0^2} |\tilde{a}_1|^{s-1/2} |d\tilde{a}_0 d\tilde{a}_1| = (\mathbb{L} - 1) \frac{\mathbb{L}^{s-5/2}}{\mathbb{L}^{s+1/2} - 1}.$$

Since the discriminant of a cubic polynomial $x^3 + px + q$ is equal to $-4p^3 - 27q^2$ the computation of the integral reduces to the computation of the Igusa zeta function for the cusp singularity. This computation can be found in many places, for example in chapter 1, §3 of [10]:

$$I_3(s) = \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{X^6 \mathbb{L}^5 - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}^2} + X^3 + \frac{X^2}{\mathbb{L}} + X^4 \mathbb{L} + \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^3 (X \mathbb{L} - 1)} \right), \quad \mathbb{L}^{s-1/2} = X.$$

The computation of the integral $I_4(s)$ is equivalent to the computation of the Igusa zeta function for the swallow-tail singularity and we postpone the discussion for the forthcoming paper where we use Fulton-MacPherson space formalism to compute the integrals I_n . On other hand we check the properties of the function $Q_f(s, T)$ with the above computations.

Indeed, since $[\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0] = 1$ we obtain $P_{gel}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (T/\mathbb{L})^n$. As check of formula (1.2) one can verify that $I_n(1/2) = \mathbb{L}^{-n}$. To discuss the second part of the formula (1.2) we need to compute the Igusa zeta function for f , which is by definition (2.5) given by

$Z_f(T) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (T/\mathbb{L})^n$. Thus the formula (1.2) states that

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - T^n)^{\mathbb{L}^{-(n+1)/2}} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} I_n(0) T^n.$$

The first four terms of the T -expansion are consistent with the above computations. For example the terms in front of T^2 are $\mathbb{L}^{-2} + \mathbb{L}^{-3/2}$ and the terms in front of T^3 are $\mathbb{L}^{-3} + \mathbb{L}^{-5/2} + \mathbb{L}^{-2}$.

6.2. Nodal singularity. The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_n(f)_0$ in this case is known to be a chain of projective lines. Indeed, to describe $\text{Hilb}_n(f)_0$ we need to classify codimension n ideals in the local ring of this singularity which is equal to $k \oplus x \cdot k[[x]] \oplus y \cdot k[[y]]$ as a k -vector space with $xy = 0$ as the main defining relation. In details, the ideals of codimension n are union of $n - 1$ projective lines $\mathbb{P}_k^1 = \{(\alpha_k x^k + \beta_k y^{n-k}, x^{k+1}, y^{n-k+1})\}$, $\alpha_k, \beta_k \neq \vec{0}$, $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. The points ideal for $\beta_k = 0$ is equal to the ideal for $\alpha_{k-1} = 0$ and $\alpha_k = 0$ is equal to the ideal for $\beta_{k+1} = 0$.

From the above description we see that the one generator locus $\text{Hilb}_n^1(f)_0$ inside $\text{Hilb}_n(f)_0$ is the union of $\mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbb{P}_k^1$ defined by the condition $\alpha_k \beta_k \neq 0$. Thus we have computed the $P_{\text{gel}}(T)$:

$$P_{\text{gel}}(T) = 1 + (\mathbb{L} - 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \mathbb{L}^{-n} T^n = 1 + T^2 / (\mathbb{L} - T)^2.$$

The Igusa zeta function can be computed by elementary means as well, since $[X_{f,n}^0] = (n-1)(\mathbb{L} - 1)\mathbb{L}^{n-2}$

$$Z_f(T) = \sum_{n \geq 1} [X_{f,n}^0] \mathbb{L}^{-2n} T^n = (\mathbb{L} - 1) \mathbb{L}^{-2} T^2 / (1 - T \mathbb{L}^{-1})^2.$$

The function $Q_f(s, T)$ interpolates between the functions $P_{\text{gel}}(T)$ and $Z_f(T)$ as we state in (1.2). In a forthcoming manuscript, we provide an argument similar to Proposition 4.1 that yields a formula for the function $Q_f(s, T)$:

$$\int_{\text{Sym}_n(\mathcal{X}_f)} |\omega_{\text{orb}}|^{1/2} |\Delta_f|^s = \sum_{\lambda = (1^{a_1}, 2^{a_2}, \dots) \vdash n} \mathbb{L}^{-v(\lambda)} \int_{\prod_i \text{Sym}_{a_i}(\mathcal{X}_i^0)} |\Delta_f|^s \prod_{i \geq 1} |\omega_f|_i.$$

For $n \leq 3$ the integrals can be computed by elementary means: for $n = 1$ the integral vanishes. For $n = 2$, only the term with $\lambda = (2)$ appears with $\nu(\lambda) = 1/2$. The locus $\mathcal{X}_{f,2}^0$ consist of the pairs $x, y \in t^{1/2}k[[t^{1/2}]]$ such that $xy = t$. Hence $|\Delta_f|$ is constant along $\mathcal{X}_{f,2}^0$ and equal \mathbb{L} . Thus combining this observation with the Lemma 2.6 and $[X_{f,2}^0] = [\{x, y \in k[t]/t^3 | x, y = t^2 \in k[t]/t^3\}] = (\mathbb{L} - 1)\mathbb{L}^2$ we obtain:

$$\mathbb{L}^{-\nu((2))} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{f,2}^0} |\Delta_f|^s |\omega_f|_2 = \mathbb{L}^{-1/2} \mathbb{L}^{-s} (\mathbb{L} - 1) \mathbb{L}^{-1}.$$

Similarly, for $n = 3$ the only non-trivial term in the formula is the term with $\lambda = (3)$. Respectively, $\nu((3)) = 1$ and the space $\mathcal{X}_{f,2}^0$ consists of two connected components $\{x = t^{1/3}\varphi(t^{1/3}), y = t^{2/3}\psi(t^{1/3})\}$ and $\{x = t^{2/3}\varphi(t^{1/3}), y = t^{1/3}\psi(t^{1/3})\}$ with $\varphi, \psi \in k[[t^{1/3}]]$ with

$\varphi\psi = 1$. Hence $|\Delta_f|$ is constant along the both components and it is equal \mathbb{L}^2 . We can again use Lemma 2.6 and $[X_{f,3}^0] = 2(\mathbb{L} - 1)\mathbb{L}^3$ to complete the computation:

$$\mathbb{L}^{-\nu((3))} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{f,3}^0} |\Delta_f|^s |\omega_f|_2 = 2\mathbb{L}^{-1}\mathbb{L}^{-2s}(\mathbb{L} - 1)\mathbb{L}^{-1}.$$

Thus we can write the start of T -expansion of the function $Q_f(s, T)$:

$$Q_f(s, T) = 1 + (\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^{-s-3/2}T^2 + 2\mathbb{L}^{-2s-2}T^3) + \dots$$

If we substitute $s = 1/2$ into the expansion then we obtain the first four terms of the series $P_{gel}(T)$. On the other hand the substitution $s = 0$ yields the first four terms of the expansion of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exp}(\mathbb{L}^{-1/2}Z_f(\mathbb{L}^{1/2}T)) &= \text{Exp}((\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^{-3/2}T^2 + \mathbb{L}^{-2}T^3) + \dots) \\ &= (1 - T^2)^{-\mathbb{L}^{-3/2}(\mathbb{L}-1)}(1 - T^3)^{-2\mathbb{L}^{-2}(\mathbb{L}-1)} \dots \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. B. Altman, A. Iarrobino, and S. L. Kleiman. Irreducibility of the compactified Jacobian. *Real and complex singularities, Oslo 1976*, pages 1–12, 1977.
- [2] D. Auroux. Fukaya categories and bordered Heegaard-Floer homology. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians 2010 (ICM 2010) (In 4 Volumes) Vol. I: Plenary Lectures and Ceremonies Vols. II–IV: Invited Lectures*, pages 917–941. World Scientific, 2010.
- [3] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein. A proof of Jantzen conjectures. *Advances in Soviet Mathematics*, 16:1–50, 1993.
- [4] V. G. Berkovich. Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 78: 5–161, 1993.
- [5] V. G. Berkovich. Vanishing cycles for formal schemes. ii. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 125(2):367–390, 1996.
- [6] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud. Néron models. 1990.
- [7] N. Budur, J. Fernández de Bobadilla, Q. T. Lê, and H. D. Nguyen. Cohomology of contact loci. *J. Differential Geom.*, 120(3):389–409, 2022.
- [8] A. Campillo, F. Delgado, and S. M. Gusein-Zade. The Alexander polynomial of a plane curve singularity via the ring of functions on it. *Duke Math. J.*, 117(1):125–156, 2003.
- [9] A. Campillo, F. Delgado, and S. M. Gusein-Zade. Multi-index filtrations and generalized Poincaré series. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 150(3):193–209, 2007.
- [10] A. Chambert-Loir, J. Nicaise, and J. Sebag. *Motivic Integration*. Springer New York, 2018.
- [11] R. Cluckers and F. Loeser. Constructible motivic functions and motivic integration. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 173(1):23, 2008.
- [12] R. Cluckers and F. Loeser. Motivic integration in all residue field characteristics for Henselian discretely valued fields of characteristic zero. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2015(701):1–31, 2015.
- [13] B. Davison and S. Meinhardt. Donaldson-Thomas theory for categories of homological dimension one with potential. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.08898*, 2015.
- [14] J. F. de Bobadilla and T. Peřka. Symplectic monodromy at radius zero and equimultiplicity of μ -constant families. *Annals of Mathematics*, 200(1):153–299, 2024.
- [15] J. de la Bodega and E. de Lorenzo Poza. The arc-Floer conjecture for plane curves. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.00051*, 2023.

- [16] P. Deligne and N. M.Katz. *Groupes de Monodromie en Geometrie Algebrique: Seminaire de Geometrie Algebrique du Bois-Marie 1967-1969 (SGA 7 II)*, volume 340. Springer, 2006.
- [17] J. Denef and F. Loeser. Motivic Igusa zeta functions. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 7:505–537, 1998.
- [18] J. Denef and F. Loeser. Lefschetz numbers of iterates of the monodromy and truncated arcs. *Topology*, 41(5):1031–1040, Sep 2002.
- [19] J. Denef and F. Loeser. Motivic Integration, Quotient Singularities and the McKay Correspondence. *Compositio Mathematica*, 131(3):267–290, 2002.
- [20] D. Eisenbud. Geometry of syzygies. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 2005.
- [21] P. Ghiggini and G. Spano. Knot Floer homology of fibred knots and Floer homology of surface diffeomorphisms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.12411*, 2022.
- [22] E. Gorsky and A. Némethi. Lattice and Heegaard Floer homologies of algebraic links. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015(23):12737–12780, 2015.
- [23] S. M.Gusein-Zade, I. Luengo, and A. Melle-Hernández. A power structure over the Grothendieck ring of varieties. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 11(1):49–57, 2004.
- [24] S. M.Gusein-Zade, F. Delgado, and A. Campillo. Integrals with respect to the Euler Characteristic over Spaces of Functions and the Alexander Polynomial. *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni VA Steklova*, 238:144–157, 2002.
- [25] M. Haiman. Notes on Macdonald Polynomials and the Geometry of Hilbert Schemes. *Symmetric Functions 2001: Surveys of Developments and Perspectives*, pages 1–64, 2002.
- [26] M. Haiman. Vanishing theorems and character formulas for the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane. *Invent. Math.*, 149(2):371–407, 2002.
- [27] M. Haiman. t,q-Catalan numbers and the Hilbert scheme. *Discrete Mathematics*, 193(1):201–224, 1998.
- [28] E. Hrushovski and F. Loeser. Monodromy and the Lefschetz fixed point formula. *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, 48(2):313–349, 2015.
- [29] M. Kapranov. The elliptic curve in the S-duality theory and Eisenstein series for Kac-Moody groups. *arXiv preprint math/0001005*, 2000.
- [30] J. Kass. Good completions of Néron models. *PhD Thesis, Harvard*, 2009.
- [31] F. Loeser and D. Wyss. Motivic integration on the Hitchin fibration. *Algebraic Geometry*, pages 196–230, 2021.
- [32] M. McLean. Floer cohomology, multiplicity and the log canonical threshold. *Geometry & Topology* 23.2 (2019): 957-1056.
- [33] S. Meinhardt An introduction to (motivic) Donaldson-Thomas theory, *Confluentes Mathematici*, 9(2):101–158, 2017.
- [34] L. Maxim and J. Schürmann. Twisted genera of symmetric products. *Selecta Mathematica*, 18(1):283–317, 2012.
- [35] J. Milnor. *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, volume 61. Princeton University Press, 2016.
- [36] J. Nicaise and J. Sebag. Motivic Serre invariants, ramification, and the analytic Milnor fiber. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 168(1):133, 2007.
- [37] A. Oblomkov, J. Rasmussen, and V. Shende. The Hilbert scheme of a plane curve singularity and the HOMFLY homology of its link, with Appendix by E. Gorsky. *Geometry and Topology*, 22(2):645–691, Jan 2018.
- [38] A. Oblomkov and V. Shende. The Hilbert scheme of a plane curve singularity and the HOMFLY polynomial of its link. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 161(7):1277–1303, May 2012.
- [39] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. Holomorphic disks and knot invariants. *Advances in Mathematics*, 186(1):58–116, 2004.
- [40] P. S.Ozsváth, A. I.Stipsicz, and Z. Szabó. *Grid homology for knots and links*, volume 208. American Mathematical Soc., 2015.

- [41] L. Pagano. Motivic zeta functions of the Hilbert schemes of points on a surface. *Doc. Math.*, 29(4):763–804, 2024.
- [42] I. Rossinelli. Motivic classes of curvilinear Hilbert schemes and Igusa zeta functions. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2024(24):14737–14762, 2024.